

University Technology Fee Advisory Board  
April 14, 2014— 5 PM—Library Event Hall

Attendance: Jason Berlinberg, ASCSU; Jake Loughridge, CoB; Brandon Earle, SFRB Liaison; Kyle Gruenhagen, WCNR; Taylor Farley, CVMBS; Bianca Lenski, Secretary, CHHS; Lance Li Puma, Chair, ASCSU; Paul Tanger, GSC; Matt Todd, Associate, Eng; Johnny Roos, AgSci; Ryan Whitcomb, CNS; Matthew Lancto, LibArts

- I. Called to Order at 5:05pm
- II. Approval of Minutes from April 7, 2014 Meeting (Postponed)
- III. Presentations
  - a. CSU Library FY15 Presentation – Don Albrecht, Tom Moothart, and Co.
    - i. Current Inventory
      1. In general lab: 210 Desktop Computers, 16 scanning stations, 4 Print Release stations, 2 Microtext Scan Stations
      2. 3 classrooms with 13, 41, and 29 desktop computers
      3. Other inventory
    - ii. FY14 Budget Update
      1. Expenses: scanning stations, replacement desktops, Macbooks,
      2. Total Spend: \$150,631. 52
      3. Total Unspent: \$5,438. 48
    - iii. Computing in the Library: Use statistics
      1. Video Production Rooms have increased in both number of reservations and total hours spend in the room
      2. Current Ration of MacBooks to PCs- 29% PCs and 71% Macs
      3. Laptop Use Comparison- above 90% usage from about 9am-9pm- in between hours, the library may actually be out and students must wait.
      4. Desktop Usage about 70% usage from about 8:30am until 4:30pm
      5. 40 iPad 2 tablets with 1,241 checkouts from August 5- April 10
        - a. Usually all iPads are checked out
        - b. iPads are checked out for a week at a time
    - iv. Technology updates
      1. WiFi:
        - a. Moved all checkout devices to high speed connections
        - b. WiFi education activity- event
        - c. Library needs to purchase \$30,000 to new switches as re-designed by NOC
    - v. New technologies
      1. 10 Chrome Books that Library has funded:
        - a. 70,000 Provided for Colorado Students
        - b. Funded by Library
        - c. Placed in Circulation in March
        - d. 6 Hour Checkout
        - e. Average checkout rate of 40%
      2. Bamboo Design Tablet:
        - a. 5 purchased by library
      3. Library's Commitment to UTFAB:
        - a. Updated video editing software
        - b. Scannx Book Scanner with touch screen

- c. 10 Hp Checkout Laptops
  - d. 20 Checkout iPads
  - e. 10 Checkout Chrome Books
  - f. 5 Checkout Bamboo tablets
  - g. Wireless Upgrade Switches
  - h. Total Contribution: \$63,489
- vi. FY14 Budget Request:
- 1. Items needed:
    - a. Monitors (38)
    - b. 21.5" iMacs (10)
    - c. Mac Pro & 27" Monitor (2)
    - d. Macbook replacements (42)
    - e. HP folio 9470m (vet checkout) (10)
    - f. Spare parts/Miscellaneous (1)
    - g. Total Request: **\$153,937.52**
- vii. Questions:
- 1. Are the replacements for Macbooks going to surplus?
    - a. First we can contact Jason and then if there's a student organization that would like them, they get the first shot at them before they go to surplus.
  - 2. What is the surplus charge per unit?
    - a. It ranges \$25-\$40 depending on the device.
  - 3. What is the most expensive unit to surplus?
    - a. Monitors.
  - 4. What happens to the software licenses when we loan the computers out?
    - a. We wipe the hardware, so they are responsible for software for the computers.

#### IV. Old Business

- a. Pat Burns- Presentation on "The Best Next Generation, Learning Ecosystem"
  - i. The instigators: Brad Wheeler and James Hilton
  - ii. The future of Education:
    - 1. Hybrid courses, flipped classrooms, online degrees
    - 2. Online future- more and better digital learning objects
    - 3. The best Educational Analytics ("Educational Moneyball")
  - iii. CSU Approach to Support Faculty:
    - 1. Deploy the best, most faculty- friendly Learning ecosystem
    - 2. Regain control of our digital learning objects
    - 3. Deploy the best analytics to provide feedback for student performance and improvement
  - iv. Strategy:
    - 1. Collaborate with some of the best institutions in the country which will allow us a better overall product, leverage vendors, and sharing of best practices
    - 2. Unizin –composite word of (University Zinfandel)
    - 3. Some participants include Oregon State, University of Florida, Colorado State, Michigan
    - 4. The Delivery platform:
      - a. Canvas because it ranks highest in 6 categories compared to Blackboard and Desire2Learn

- b. Unizin wants to use statistics like the movie, *Moneyball*
    - c. Predictive analytics and real-time analytics
  - 5. We want to regain and maintain our own learning objects outside of a vendor platform
- v. Business Model Details:
  - 1. CSU investing about \$1 million in the next three years
  - 2. Canvas costs are about \$150,000 less expensive than Blackboard per year
- vi. Questions:
  - 1. Why Colorado State University with those other top universities?
    - a. They want similar universities and in a lot of ways we are very similar to these other universities and institutions. We are way ahead in some areas. We do about \$38 million a year in online education. We are leaders in online education and how to do that right.
  - 2. Is this going to be for graduate and undergraduate?
    - a. Yes, it's for the entire university. Start putting things on July 1, 2014. We want to be completely out of Blackboard in 2 years.
  - 3. Will this decrease overall costs on our end through collaboration?
    - a. We are investing \$1 million up front, but there is about a 7-year payback. We are investing in a \$10 million product since the other 9 universities are putting their money in as we are too. This will absolutely decrease our costs.
  - 4. Would it be easier for somebody from Purdue to get recommendations coming to CSU since they will be part of the collaboration?
    - a. Indiana doesn't have an engineering school, but they teach 43 different languages. Why not help them with engineering, and them helping us with language? These are the collaborative ideas that we intend to pursuing once we go live with this. This will support that.
  - 5. Is there a plan to integrate Echo360 into all of this?
    - a. We are going to continue with Echo360, this will just be another learning ecosystem for us.
  - 6. So will we be sharing Echo360 presentations with other universities?
    - a. The faculty owns their content, so they get to decide.
  - 7. If everything is shared, will we get a Unizin degree down the road?
    - a. I don't see us all becoming one university. We are under the authority of Colorado, so I don't think that will happen.
  - 8. Could this open up for exchange programs between schools?
    - a. Absolutely. We think once it launches, there will be another 100 universities that want on board.
  - 9. Since we are one of the first 10, will this benefit us?
    - a. Yes, we get long term discounts and new information first.
  - 10. Is this designed to collaborate more on the research basis?
    - a. This is almost exclusively designed towards education. It is not really targeted towards research.
  - 11. Will the other students at universities be able to see my grades and my content?

- a. It will never be personally identifiable, but if you take a course from them, then yes they will be able to see your grades.

V. New Business

- a. Discussion/Debate about FY15 Budget Proposal:

- b. Vote

- i. Passed unanimously

VI. Next Meeting: Monday April 21, 2014—5 PM—Library Event Hall

- a. Classroom Support Services FY15 Proposal

VII. Meeting adjourned at 6:15pm